



Nasal Phenomena in Yaminawa (Panoan, Perú)

KELSEY NEELY

13 DECEMBER 2019

1ST WORKSHOP ON NASALITY IN LANGUAGES OF SOUTH AMERICA

Overview

- ▶ Two types of nasality:
 - ▶ 1. Morphological: leftward spreading, morpheme based
 - ▶ 2. Phonological/segmental: non-spreading (rightward co-articulation?), segment based
- ▶ Oral stops are underlyingly nasal stops
- ▶ Nasal morphemes (roots) have limited tonal contours
- ▶ Nasal words have limited phonotactics
- ▶ Airflow data can help clarify the degree of leftward morphological nasal spread/harmony and rightward coarticulation

¡Mā wishpi shĩnābakiki kedeki!
¡No olvides escribir con cejas!



Thanks to:

- ▶ Oswalt Endangered Languages Documentation Grant at UC Berkeley
- ▶ ELDP Individual Postdoctoral fellowship IPF 0308
- ▶ Speakers of Yaminahua (Sepahua variety) and Nahuatl, particularly Rosa Gomez Ramírez, Rebeca Seido Thaihui, Delicia Gomez Ramírez, and José Manuel Ramírez Saldaña

Background about nasality

- ▶ Loos 2006: Describes Yaminahua of the Yurúa river as having discontinuous spread from final, deleted /n/ to first vowel of a root (passing over an intervening vowel)
- ▶ Describes voiced stops as prenasalized in oral position
- ▶ Mostly shows disyllabic roots where the last vowel was transcribed as oral...

Group 6: Nasal spread over stops

chapo -n ^d	cricket	chãpo	chãpo
chipi -n ^d	older sister	chĩpĩ	chĩpi
chata -n ^d	grandfather	chãtã	chãtã
fake -n ^d	son	wã?ẽ	
make -n ^d	piranha (a fish)	mã?ẽ	mã?ẽ

Loos 2006 – voiced stop data

► BUT:

Group 3: Nasal spread affecting nasal consonant releases

nam ^b i -n ^d	meat	nãmĩ	nãmĩ
im ^b i -n ^d	blood	ĩmĩ	ĩmĩ
xom ^b a -n ^d	breast	xõmã	xõmã
rom ^b e -n ^d	tobacco	lõmẽ	lõmẽ
fen ^d e -n ^d	husband	fẽnẽ	fwẽnẽ
in ^d o -n ^d	feline	ʔinõ	ĩnõ
man ^d o -n ^d	bee	mãnõ	mãnõ
on ^d o -n ^d	boar	ʔõno	õno

Loos 2006 – the discontinuous data

Table 11. *tsikin^d* ‘push’

	Stem-tiro	
1	<i>tsikin^d-tiro</i>	push-able
2	<i>tsiʔitiro</i>	able to push
Stem-ma		
3	<i>petsikin^d-m^ba-a</i>	back.push-caus-comp
4	<i>pʔisiʔiba</i>	caused to push him on the back
Stem, -ma, -ita		
5	<i>petsikin^d-m^ba-ita</i>	back.push-caus-past
6	<i>pʔisiʔibaita</i>	caused to push him on the back yesterday
Stem, -ma, -ka, -i		
7	<i>petsikin^d-m^ba-i-ka-i</i>	back.push-caus-to-go-prog
8	<i>pʔisiʔibaiʔai</i>	go to make him push it on the back
Stem, -kin^d		
9	<i>petsikin^d-kin^d</i>	back.push-inf
10	<i>pʔisiʔiki</i>	to push on the back of it

Sepahua Yaminahua

- ▶ Doesn't work like Loos 2006.
- ▶ Oral stops are either oral or nasal, never pre/post-nasalized
- ▶ No evidence of discontinuous spread
- ▶ Most of my questions have to do with differences between morphological (spreading) and segmental/phonological (co-articulating) nasality

Segmental inventory

	bilabial	alveolar	post- alveolar	retroflex	palatal	velar	glottal
plosive	p	t				k	
nasal plosive	m	n					
affricate		ts	tʃ				
fricative		s	ʃ	ʂ			(h)
flap/tap		r					
approximant	w (ʍ)				j		

Nasal vs. Oral voiced stops

- ▶ When voiced stops are deleted, they trigger nasality on the vowel

(107)	a. [wíkãwĩ̀]	c. [ájáwáwáinũ̀]
	/wí -kan -wĩ/	/ájá -wáwáín -nũ/
	come.PL -PL.IPFV -IMPER	drink -CONT.TR -OPT
	‘Come!’ (to various)	‘(I/we) will drink (it) everyday, throughout the day’
	b. [áşütìrù]	
	/ák -şun -tìru/	
	AUX.TR -BEN -POT	
	‘can do it for (him)’	

Nasal vs Oral voiced stops

- ▶ But there is one suffix where nasality is not triggered, the causative /-mad/

(108) [pí:bákàdì]

pí: -bád -kad -i
eat -CAUS -PL.IPFV -IPFV

‘they are feeding him’

- ▶ So maybe there’s also a /d/?
- ▶ This is a morpheme to look into!

Metrical phonology

- ▶ Metrical phonology triggers the same consonant deletion where /n/ would occur as the onset of a new metrical foot:

(123) a. ('á.ʂù)à
/ák -ʂun -a/
do.TR -BEN -PFV
'did it for someone'

b. ('pí.tʃà)(,ʂù.dà)
/pítʃà -ʂun -a/
cook -BEN -PFV
'cooked it for someone'

c. ('pí.bá)(,ʂù.dà)
/pí -mad -ʂun -a/
eat -CAUS -BEN -PFV
'made them eat for someone' (i.e., served food on someone's behalf)

d. ('á.já)(,bà.ʂù)ã
/ájá -mad -ʂun -a/
drink -CAUS -BEN -PFV
'made them drink for someone' (i.e., served beverage on someone's behalf)

Morphological (spreading) nasality

- ▶ Roots either surface as oral or nasal:

(130)	a. [dàì]	b. [wárí]
	/nai/	/wárí/
	'sky'	'sun'
	c. [náì]	d. [wárá]
	/náìnì ^N /	/wárá ^N mà ^N /
	'arboreal anteater'	'pumpkin'
	e. [ídàì]	f. [ínàì]
	/ínà -i/	/ínà ^N -i/
	climb -IPFV	give -IPFV
	'is climbing'	'is giving'

Morphological (spreading) nasality

- ▶ Nasal roots also have HL tonal contour, except for a small class of LL:

<i>chàmì</i>	<i>mǎpì</i>	<i>nǎpè</i>
'pineapple'	'shrimp'	'housefly'

- ▶ Are these different in terms of the realization of nasality?

Morphological (spreading) nasality

- ▶ Roots (and affixes) can be nasalized by certain morphemes
- ▶ Ergative/Instrumental case, Augmentative, Malefactive, Reciprocal, others

(131) a. [wĩrũwã̃]
/wĩru -wã̃^N/
eye -AUG
‘big eye’
b. [pĩstĩwã̃]
/pĩsti -wã̃^N/
horsefly -AUG
‘big horsefly’

c. [mĩnã̃kã̃dì]
/mĩĩ -nã̃^N -kan -i/
hit -RECIP -PL.IPFV -IPFV
‘they’re fighting’
d. [pĩmã̃nã̃kã̃dì]
/pĩ -mad -nã̃^N -kan -i/
eat -CAUS -RECIP -PL.IPFV -IPFV
‘they’re feeding each other’

Morphological (spreading) nasality

- Some morphemes are realized as nasalization only:

(133) a. [wĩnĩ]
/winĩ = Ñ̂/
husband -ERG

b. [núpĩ]
/nupĩ = Ñ̂/
machete -INS

c. [rítĩawù]
/rítĩ -Ñ̂ -a = wù/
kill -MAL -PFV -PL

‘They killed (it) to (his/her) detriment.’

d. [úʒà̃]
/ùʒà̃ -Ñ̂ -a/
sleep -CAUS -PFV

‘(S/he) put (him/her) to sleep.’

Morphological (spreading) nasality

- ▶ If stops are underlyingly nasal, then what we're seeing is actually oralization... But if they're underspecified, then let's call it nasalization
- ▶ Triggered by nasal "feature"
- ▶ Targets are voiced segments
 - ▶ What about flap?
 - ▶ Does /j/ behave differently?
- ▶ All segment types appear to be transparent

Morphological (spreading) nasality

- ▶ Not all morphemes with surface nasality trigger nasal spread:

(135) a. [ájákúì̃]
/ájá -kúì̃ -i/
drink -INTENS -IPFV
'is drinking well'

b. [ájánábìj̃]
/ájá -námì̃ -i/
drink -INTENS -IPFV
'poor thing is drinking'

Morphological (spreading) nasality

- ▶ But are there differences between lexically nasal roots and roots that have acquired nasality via spread?
- ▶ E.g.,
[ñũwĩ] /juwĩN/ 'brujo' vs. [ñãwã] /jawa =N/
- ▶ Speakers seem to be more okay with writing <ñ> for inherent than acquired nasality in initial position, but is this just some weird phonotactic thing? (there are very few lexemes with initial [j])

Diachronic Tangent

- ▶ Morphological nasal features seem to come from diachronically deleted nasals
- ▶ E.g., ergative in most other Panoan languages is –n
 - ▶ For roots longer than 2 syllables the ergative is –nẽ
- ▶ Sometimes you can still see the deleted nasal synchronically:

[ísi̯]	→	[ísi̯níki̯]
/ísi̯ ^N /		/ísi̯ ^N ík -i/
pain		pain AUX.ITR -IPFV
‘pain’		‘is sick’

Root phonotactics

- ▶ You can't mix oral and nasal
- ▶ Exception: [ǰáki̯] 'axe handle' in Nahua (it's oral in Yaminahua)
 - ▶ There may be additional exceptions in Nahua!
 - ▶ So far they're not disyllabic: e.g., [ǰúina̯] 'game animal'
- ▶ Other exceptions involve morphological complexity:
 - ▶ ergative case on de-truncated nouns: [áwapa̯] 'tapir-ERG'
 - ▶ Words formed with classifiers: [ǰiki̯wĩ] 'charichuelo fruit' (-wĩ = CLF:fruit)
- ▶ Words with initial /ǰ/ are quite rare compared to oral /j/ or other nasal(ized) segments

Inherently oral roots

- ▶ Some roots (previewed on the slide before) block nasal spread through the (whole) morpheme:

- ▶ 1) de-truncated roots have final nasal vowel only (?)

(120)	a. ('á.wà)	a'. ('á.wá)pà
	/áwápà/ tapir	/áwápà = N/ tapir = ERG
	b. ('ká.pì)	b'. ('ká.pí)tã
	/kápítà/ alligator	/kápítà = N/ alligator = ERG

- ▶ 2) roots with 3+ syllables take “full” form of ergative –nĩ
bájárú -> bájárúnĩ ‘jaguar’ + erg

Questions

- ▶ How much does nasality co-articulate to the right?
- ▶ How far does it spread in de-truncated roots?
- ▶ Is the realization of segmental nasality different than morphological nasality?
- ▶ Are non-spreading nasal morphemes different somehow? (other than not spreading nasality...)
- ▶ What's up with the cases where nasality defies the phonotactic restrictions?
- ▶ Are inherently nasal and aquired nasal root surface forms different?